# THE GREATEST PHILOSOPHY CONFERENCE OF ALL TIME

(Probabilistically Speaking)

IN HONOR OF THE PHILOSOPHY OF PAUL DRAPER

## SEPTEMBER 19th-20th PURDUE UNIVERSITY

### The Greatest Philosophy Conference of All Time (*Probabilistically Speaking*)

### -Themes from Paul Draper-

September 19–20 • Purdue Memorial Union, West Lafayette, IN

Purdue Memorial Union, 1011 Grant St., West Lafayette, IN 47906 Rooms: West Faculty Lounge • Director's Room

### September 19

West Faculty Lounge	Director's Room
9:00–9:10am — "Some Introductory Comments"	
Jim Elliott (Wichita State University)	
Perry Hendricks ("Please Hire Me" University)	
9:10–10:40am — "Welter and Waste"	
Jeanine Diller (University of Toledo)	
Chair: Eric Sampson; Comments: Scott Davison	
10:50–12:20pm — "Draper's Panpsychotheism"	10:50–12:20pm — "Explanatory Stories and the Evidential
Yujin Nagasawa (University of Oklahoma)	Problem of Evil"
Chair: Gregory Robson; Comments: Andrew Melnyk	Charity Anderson (Baylor University)
	Chair: Perry Hendricks; Comments: Blake McAllister
12:20-2:20pm – LUNCH (on your own)	
2:20–3:50pm — "Evil and Multiverses"	2:20-3:50pm - "Worry and Wonder: Two Findings in
Timothy O'Connor (Indiana University)	Favor of Naturalism"
Chair: Blake McCallister; Comments: Christopher	J.L. Schellenberg (Mount Saint Vincent University)
Tomaszewski	Chair: Adrian McCaffery; Comments: Andrew Blanton
4:00–6:30pm — Panel: Intrinsic Probability and Theism	
Nevin Climenhaga (Texas A&M University)	
Richard Swinburne (University of Oxford)	
Michael Tooley (University of Colorado, Boulder)	
Chair: Luke Wilson	

### September 20

West Faculty Lounge	Director's Room
9:00–10:30am — "Core Theism"	9:00–10:30am — "Evil, Agnosticism, and Draper's
Mark Murphy (Georgetown University)	Atheistic Turn"
Particles Arranged Chairwise: Joseph Schmid	Jason Marsh (St. Olaf College)
Comments: Eric Silverman	Chair: Bertha Manninen; Comments: Kristen Irwin
10:40–12:10pm — "Contingent Social Influence as a	10:40–12:10pm — "Expectations, Divine and Worldly"
Defeater for Religious Belief"	Daniel Rubio (University of Toronto)
Michael Bergmann (Purdue University)	Chair: David Redmond; Comments: Daniel Linford
Chair: Jim Elliott; Comments: Justin Mooney	
12:10-2:10pm – LUNCH (on your own)	
2:10–3:40pm — "What Has He Been Smoking?"	2:10–3:40pm — "Theistic Indifference"
Graham Oppy (Monash University)	Philip Swenson (The College of William and Mary)
Chair: Scott Hill; Comments: Brandon Rdzak	Chair: David Widerker; Comments: Noah McKay
3:50–4:00pm — "Paul Draper: An Evidential Problem for	
Atheists"	
Bertha Manninen (Arizona State University)	
4:00–5:30pm — "Bayes' Theorem and the Probability of	
the Resurrection"	
Paul Draper (Purdue University)	
Chair: Perry Hendricks	

<sup>•</sup>Contact: <a href="mailto:tepcoatdraper@gmail.com">tepcoatdraper@gmail.com</a> – at any point for any questions or concerns •



Many thanks to the Department of Philosophy at Purdue University for their gracious help in supporting this conference.

### **Presenters**

- Jeanine Diller (University of Toledo)
  - Pub Quiz Name: Jeanine "Gotta Theorize 'em All" Diller. "From Zeus to Zoroaster she's got a model for that."
- Yujin Nagasawa (University of Oklahoma)
  - o Pub Quiz Name: Yujin "Global God Hunter" Nagasawa "Move over Indiana Jones. I'm looking for the Ultimate Reality, and I've got the Ontological Argument as my whip."
- Charity Anderson (Baylor University)
  - o Pub Quiz Name: Charity "The Epistemic Sleuth" Anderson "Welcome to Onto-Mart. Looking for God? You may want to check the Possible-Worlds aisle."
- Timothy O'Connor (Indiana University)
  - Pub Quiz Name: Timothy "Causin' Trouble" O'Connor "He's a Free Agent, and it's pre-season in the Metaphysical Football League."
- J.L. Schellenberg (Mount Saint Vincent University)
  - o Pub Quiz Name: J.L. "The Ultimate Natural" Schellenberg "Do you think hide-and-seek is fun? God shouldn't."
- Nevin Climenhaga (Texas A&M University)
  - Pub Quiz Name: Nevin "Probabilistic Prophet" Climenhaga "He turns your gut feelings into tidy probability tables so fast, you \*will\* know what to do."
- Richard Swinburne (The University of Oxford)
  - O Pub Quiz Name: Richard "Light Bayes" Swinburne "God Exists, and there's a mathematical possibility He's Bayesian, a tweed enthusiast, and/or fond of analogies to such an effect."
- Michael Tooley (University of Colorado, Boulder)
  - Pub Quiz Name: Michael "Personhood Provocateur" Tooley "Time, causation, and moral controversy the triple threat."
- Mark Murphy (Georgetown University)
  - o Pub Quiz Name: Mark "It's All-Natural" Murphy "Keeps divine law fresh, unprocessed, and ethically farmed."
- Jason Marsh (St. Olaf College)
  - Pub Quiz Name: Jason "Procreation Provocateur" Marsh "Making babies? Not making babies? Either way, he's got questions."
- Michael Bergmann (Purdue University)
  - Pub Quiz Name: Michael "Defeater Eater" Bergmann "Your epistemic objections are nothing more than an afternoon snack."
- Daniel Rubio (University of Toronto)
  - Pub Quiz Name: Daniel "To Infinity and Beyond" Rubio "His decision-making parties get surreal; you're invited, just
    make sure to bring your flowchart."
- Graham Oppy (Monash University)
  - o Pub Quiz Name: Graham "Ontological Ozempic" Oppy "Keeping it simple and filleting Theism one cut at a time."
- Philip Swenson (The College of William and Mary)
  - o Pub Quiz Name: Philip "Determinism's Demon" Swenson "I didn't choose the Indeterministic Life. The Indeterministic Life chose me."
- Bertha Manninen (Arizona State University)
  - Pub Quiz Name: Bertha "Rights-Wrangler" Manninen "It's not politics, it's philosophy and I'll fight you in the ring of reason!"
- Paul Draper (Purdue University)
  - O Pub Quiz Name: Paul "Dark Bayes" Draper "Don't call \*him\* indifferent; he's crunching the numbers and it's looking less like "a mystery" and more like "bad odds for Theism."

### **Organizers**

### Perry Hendricks ("Please Hire Me" University)

- Pub Quiz Name: Perry "The Not-So-Skeptical Skeptical Theist" Hendricks "God has reasons. No, you can't see them. By the way, have you considered my new Anti-abortion twist?"
  - Contact: perryhendricks990@gmail.com

### James Elliott (Wichita State University)

- Pub Quiz Name: James "Oh-So-Humble" Elliott "Epistemic Humility is the key to cracking the code on God. Here's 50,000 words explaining why I'm right."
  - Contact: james.elliott@wichita.edu

### The Official "Yellow Flag Protocol"

### • Definition:

The Yellow Flag, and its event-counterpart *throwing the yellow flag*, is a material instantiation of *philosophical indignation*, deployed when a speaker has committed a philosophical transgression so objectively egregious that even David Lewis couldn't make a defensible argument for it. (cf. *Nicomachean Ethics* 1108a-1108b)

### • Conditions of Deployment:

**Permissible offenses** may include *egregious errors* such as when a speaker:

- Treats "existence" as a first-order predicate and/or property.
- Disparages the Rev'd Bayes, the theorem, or hand-waves Bayesianism in general. E.g., "Priors are nothing more than subjective assertions anyway; Keynes proved this nearly a century ago!"
- Makes a noseeum inference.
- Conflates SEP articles with Holy Scripture
- Excessively Chisholms or gerrymanders entities (e.g., such that they wouldn't even belong amid PVI's ontological jungle).

### **Impermissible offenses,** for example, may include when a speaker:

- Does not reference an article you wrote, forthcoming in *American Philosophical Quarterly*, that just so happens to "address this point, in fact."
- Pronounces "Augustine" as "Uh-gustin" as opposed to "August-een." (NB the *via media*, "Uh-gust-een", is in fact a *permissible offense*.)

### • Normative Force:

- Throwing the first yellow flag garners the thrower priority rights in the Q&A queue (Note: This doesn't *necessarily* entail that the first flag thrower gets the first question, but it does mean that the chair will hold the first flag thrower in a general priority.) The Chair is the final authority on the queue and may make a call or veto as seen fit.
- Any flag after the first is redundant and will not help you get higher up in the queue: in fact, it may hurt your chances as too many flags going up is distracting to the presenter.
- To be clear, one and only one flag thrown per presentation, and one flag thrown per comments/response, is acceptable.
- The Yellow Flag must be launched with the epistemic seriousness of an assertion. Side-arm flicks or underhand tosses will be interpreted as lack of doxastic commitment and justifiably ignored. Optimal form is overhand, and with panache.
- Meta-rule: Abuse of the Yellow Flag itself constitutes a paradigmatic case of Yellow-Flag-worthy conduct. (cf. Yablo's paradox, but with nylon).